

Sociology 593
Exam 3
May 4, 2005

I. True-False. (20 points) Indicate whether the following statements are true or false. If false, briefly explain why.

1. The odds of an event occurring are 1. This means that the event will definitely happen.
2. A key limitation of the LISREL method is that it cannot estimate nonrecursive models.
3. A researcher has a sample of blacks and a sample of whites. For both samples separately, he regresses political liberalism on education. The R^2 value is larger for whites than it is for blacks. This means that the structural effect of education on liberalism is larger for whites than it is for blacks (i.e. $\beta^{\text{White}} > \beta^{\text{Black}}$).
4. Stepwise regression, analysis of outliers, and the centering of continuous independent variables can all be done in both OLS and logistic regression.
5. If a model is under-identified, 2SLS should be used to estimate it.

II. Short answer. (25 pts each, 50 pts total). Answer *both* of the following.

II-1. (25 points) Long and Freese (2003) present data from the 1977/1989 General Social Surveys. Respondents are asked to evaluate the following statement: “A working mother can establish just as warm and secure a relationship with her child as a mother who does not work.” The variables are

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Description</i>
workmom	Coded 1 if respondent agreed or strongly agreed, 0 otherwise
male	Coded 1 if male, 0 if female
yr89	Coded 1 if 1989, 0 if 1977
yr89male	= yr89 * male

Based on the printout below, answer the following.

- a. In Model 1, what do DEV_M , G_M , DEV_0 , and McFadden’s Pseudo R^2 equal?

b. Using Model 2, complete the following table:

Male	Yr89	Log odds	Odds	P(Agree)
Female	1977			
Male	1989			

c. Three models are estimated. Which model do you think is best, and why? What does this model say about the effect of gender on support for working mothers? What does this model tell you about differences across time in the determinants of support for working mothers?

. * Model 1

```
. logit workmom male, nolog
```

```
Logit estimates                               Number of obs   =       2293
                                              LR chi2(1)      =       49.98
                                              Prob > chi2     =       0.0000
Log likelihood = -1550.4116                  Pseudo R2      =       0.0159
```

workmom	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
male	-.5981885	.085043	-7.03	0.000	-.7648696 -.4315073
_cons	.5043109	.058921	8.56	0.000	.3888278 .619794

```
. est store m1
```

. * Model 2

```
. logit workmom male yr89, nolog
```

```
Logit estimates                               Number of obs   =       2293
                                              LR chi2(2)      =       98.22
                                              Prob > chi2     =       0.0000
Log likelihood = -1526.2886                  Pseudo R2      =       0.0312
```

workmom	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
male	-.589729	.08595	-6.86	0.000	-.7581878 -.4212702
yr89	.6096502	.0885544	6.88	0.000	.4360868 .7832136
_cons	.2632326	.0681564	3.86	0.000	.1296486 .3968167

```
. est store m2
```

```
. lrtest m2 m1
```

```
likelihood-ratio test                       LR chi2(1)      =       48.25
(Assumption: m1 nested in m2)              Prob > chi2    =       0.0000
```

. * Model 3

```
. logit workmom male yr89 yr89male, nolog
```

Logit estimates	Number of obs	=	2293
	LR chi2(3)	=	99.36
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0000
Log likelihood = -1525.7197	Pseudo R2	=	0.0315

workmom	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
male	-.6614662	.1093128	-6.05	0.000	-.8757154	-.4472169
yr89	.5191738	.1222222	4.25	0.000	.2796226	.758725
yr89male	.1889503	.1770994	1.07	0.286	-.1581582	.5360588
_cons	.2974382	.0754663	3.94	0.000	.1495271	.4453494

```
. est store m3
```

```
. lrtest m3 m2
```

likelihood-ratio test	LR chi2(1)	=	1.14
(Assumption: m2 nested in m3)	Prob > chi2	=	0.2861

II-2. (25 points) For each of the following circumstances describe the statistical technique you would use for revealing the relationship between the dependent and independent variables. Write a few sentences explaining and justifying your answer. In some instances more than one technique may be reasonable.

a. President Bush wants to know what impact his press conference had on support for his social security plan, his personal popularity, and support for his judicial appointments. All three of these variables are measured on continuous scales that range from 0 to 100. Five hundred American adults will be asked whether or not they saw the press conference and how they feel about each of these three issues.

b. A medical sociologist believes that social psychological factors play a key role in self-perceptions of health. Respondents are asked how their health is, with the possible responses being poor, fair, good, and excellent. They are also asked their gender, income, and the number of close friends they have.

c. A professor has repeatedly been told by students that essay exams are fairer than multiple choice exams and that students perform better on them. The professor has decided to determine whether exam format does affect student performance. The first exam will be all multiple choice. The second exam will be all essay. Both will be graded on 100 point scales. Students will use id numbers that keep them anonymous from the professor but which make it possible to record, for each student individually, their score on the first and second exam. The professor will then test whether exam format affects student grades.

d. A researcher believes that unreliable measurement has been a key factor in the failure of 200 previous studies to support her hypothesis that enthusiasm for college football is a major determinant of support for the Republican Party. She has therefore written six questions that measure enthusiasm for college football and another five questions that measure support for the Republican Party. All items are measured on continuous scales.

e. With summer approaching, a fast food company is worried about attrition rates among its staff. It wants to hold on to employees for as long as possible. It has therefore drawn a random sample of employee records from the last five years. For each employee, it has recorded (a) whether the employee is still on the job (b) how long the employee was or has been employed with the company (c) the age of the employee when hired and (d) the employee's score on an attitudinal test taken at the time of hiring.

III. Essay. (30 points) Answer *one* of the following questions.

1. We've talked about several ways that OLS regression can be modified to deal with violations of its assumptions. Some problems, however, require the use of techniques besides OLS. For three of the following, explain why and when the method would be used instead of OLS. Be sure to make clear what assumptions would be violated if OLS was used instead.

- a. 2 stage least squares
- b. Logistic regression
- c. Ordered Logit models
- d. Robust regression techniques (e.g. rreg, qreg, robust standard errors)
- e. Event History Analysis
- f. Hierarchical Linear Modeling

2. Your psychology professor has told you that you should almost always focus on standardized, rather than unstandardized (metric) coefficients. Explain to your professor (as politely as possible) why he is wrong. Among other things, you may want to discuss the relative strengths and weaknesses of standardized vs. unstandardized coefficients with regard to:

- a. Variables with arbitrary metrics (e.g. attitudinal scales)
- b. Structural equation models
- c. Multiple-group comparisons
- d. Interpretability of coefficients
- e. Effect of random measurement error on coefficients

IV. *Extra Credit.* (10 points)

Following are the results from an ordinal regression.

```
. des health female black age
```

variable name	storage type	display format	value label	variable label
health	byte	%9.0g	junk	1=poor,..., 5=excellent
female	byte	%8.0g		1=female, 0=male
black	byte	%8.0g		1 if race=black, 0 otherwise
age	byte	%9.0g		age in years

```
. ologit health female black age
```

```
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -15764.397
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -14931.648
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -14923.357
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -14923.345
```

Ordered logit estimates	Number of obs	=	10335
	LR chi2(3)	=	1682.10
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0000
Log likelihood = -14923.345	Pseudo R2	=	0.0534

health	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
female	-.1170992	.0355732	-3.29	0.001	-.1868214 -.0473769
black	-.8845093	.0583105	-15.17	0.000	-.9987959 -.7702228
age	-.0410673	.0010907	-37.65	0.000	-.043205 -.0389295
(Ancillary parameters)					
_cut1	-4.910859	.074328			
_cut2	-3.428162	.0648868			
_cut3	-2.004318	.0586633			
_cut4	-.7512595	.0561221			

Briefly interpret the results. Then compute the probability that a 50 year old black female will report being in poor health.