

Sociology 63993
Exam 1
February 18, 2011

I. *True-False.* (20 points) Indicate whether the following statements are true or false. If false, briefly explain why.

1. A data set contains a few extreme outliers. It is usually best to use Stata's `rreg` (Robust Regression) routine to deal with the problem.
 2. The independent variables in an analysis include X1, X2, and X1X2 (i.e. $X1 * X2$). X1 has missing data (and hence X1X2 does too). If multiple imputation is being used, you should first compute X1X2, and then impute the missing values for X1 and X1X2.
 3. Cronbach's Alpha is used to test for serial correlation.
 4. The less true variability there is in a population, the higher the reliability of measures will tend to be.
 5. The most extreme outliers on Y (i.e. the cases where Y is furthest from the mean) will always have the most influence on the regression line.
-

II. *Short answer.* Discuss all three of the following problems. (15 points each, 45 points total.) In each case, the researcher has used Stata to test for a possible problem, concluded that there is a problem, and then adopted a strategy to address that problem. Explain (a) what problem the researcher was testing for, and why she concluded that there was a problem, (b) the rationale behind the solution she chose, i.e. how does it try to address the problem, and (c) one alternative solution she could have tried, and why. (NOTE: a few sentences on each point will probably suffice – you don't have to repeat everything that was in the lecture notes.)

II-1.

```
. sum income white male age fathered
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
income	812	16.96983	8.464258	.5	25
white	812	.864532	.3424337	0	1
male	812	.4864532	.5001245	0	1
age	812	38.53695	11.92651	18	81
fathered	695	11.44173	3.838113	0	20

. fre fathered

fathered -- HIGHEST YEAR SCHOOL COMPLETED, FATHER

		Freq.	Percent	Valid	Cum.
Valid	0	5	0.62	0.72	0.72
	2	4	0.49	0.58	1.29
	3	10	1.23	1.44	2.73
	4	12	1.48	1.73	4.46
	5	10	1.23	1.44	5.90
	6	38	4.68	5.47	11.37
	7	17	2.09	2.45	13.81
	8	84	10.34	12.09	25.90
	9	28	3.45	4.03	29.93
	10	30	3.69	4.32	34.24
	11	21	2.59	3.02	37.27
	12	224	27.59	32.23	69.50
	13	20	2.46	2.88	72.37
	14	64	7.88	9.21	81.58
	15	9	1.11	1.29	82.88
	16	71	8.74	10.22	93.09
	17	7	0.86	1.01	94.10
	18	15	1.85	2.16	96.26
	19	10	1.23	1.44	97.70
	20	16	1.97	2.30	100.00
	Total	695	85.59	100.00	
Missing	.a R is from Fatherless Family	117	14.41		
Total		812	100.00		

. gen one = 1

. gen mdfathered = missing(fathered)

. impute fathered one, gen(fathered2)

14.41% (117) observations imputed

. fre fathered2 mdfathered

fathered2 -- imputed fathered

		Freq.	Percent	Valid	Cum.
Valid	0	5	0.62	0.62	0.62
	2	4	0.49	0.49	1.11
	3	10	1.23	1.23	2.34
	4	12	1.48	1.48	3.82
	5	10	1.23	1.23	5.05
	6	38	4.68	4.68	9.73
	7	17	2.09	2.09	11.82
	8	84	10.34	10.34	22.17
	9	28	3.45	3.45	25.62
	10	30	3.69	3.69	29.31
	11	21	2.59	2.59	31.90
	11.44173	117	14.41	14.41	46.31
	12	224	27.59	27.59	73.89
	13	20	2.46	2.46	76.35
	14	64	7.88	7.88	84.24
	15	9	1.11	1.11	85.34
	16	71	8.74	8.74	94.09
	17	7	0.86	0.86	94.95
	18	15	1.85	1.85	96.80
	19	10	1.23	1.23	98.03
	20	16	1.97	1.97	100.00
	Total	812	100.00	100.00	

mdfathered

		Freq.	Percent	Valid	Cum.
Valid	0	695	85.59	85.59	85.59
	1	117	14.41	14.41	100.00
Total		812	100.00	100.00	

. reg income white male age fathered2 mdfathered

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	812
Model	9184.30275	5	1836.86055	F(5, 806) =	30.26
Residual	48918.708	806	60.6931861	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	58103.0108	811	71.6436631	R-squared =	0.1581
				Adj R-squared =	0.1528
				Root MSE =	7.7906

income	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
white	.1521136	.8260281	0.18	0.854	-1.469306 1.773534
male	5.267875	.5502797	9.57	0.000	4.187725 6.348026
age	.1752915	.0240181	7.30	0.000	.1281461 .2224368
fathered2	.2555826	.0811945	3.15	0.002	.0962049 .4149603
mdfathered	-1.122087	.797704	-1.41	0.160	-2.687909 .4437358
_cons	4.757922	1.6178	2.94	0.003	1.582324 7.93352

//-2.

. reg warm ed age prst

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	4586
Model	249.541491	3	83.1804971	F(3, 4582) =	103.01
Residual	3699.96047	4582	.807499012	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	3949.50196	4585	.861396284	R-squared =	0.0632
				Adj R-squared =	0.0626
				Root MSE =	.89861

warm	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
ed	.0374512	.0054324	6.89	0.000	.0268012 .0481013
age	-.0094214	.0008435	-11.17	0.000	-.0110751 -.0077677
prst	.0018836	.0011332	1.66	0.097	-.000338 .0041052
_cons	2.498711	.0748558	33.38	0.000	2.351958 2.645465

. estat hettest

Breusch-Pagan / Cook-Weisberg test for heteroskedasticity

Ho: Constant variance

Variables: fitted values of warm

chi2(1) = 7.00
Prob > chi2 = 0.0081

```
. reg warm ed age prst male
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	4586
Model	389.311386	4	97.3278466	F(4, 4581) =	125.23
Residual	3560.19058	4581	.7771645	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	3949.50196	4585	.861396284	R-squared =	0.0986
				Adj R-squared =	0.0978
				Root MSE =	.88157

warm	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
ed	.0368867	.0053295	6.92	0.000	.0264383	.0473351
age	-.0099226	.0008284	-11.98	0.000	-.0115466	-.0082986
prst	.0025542	.0011128	2.30	0.022	.0003726	.0047359
male	-.3508326	.0261607	-13.41	0.000	-.4021202	-.299545
_cons	2.664683	.0744719	35.78	0.000	2.518682	2.810683

```
. estat hettest
```

Breusch-Pagan / Cook-Weisberg test for heteroskedasticity

Ho: Constant variance

Variables: fitted values of warm

chi2(1) = 0.03

Prob > chi2 = 0.8613

//-3.

```
. reg price w1 w2 w3
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	74
Model	196801072	3	65600357.4	F(3, 70) =	10.48
Residual	438264324	70	6260918.91	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	635065396	73	8699525.97	R-squared =	0.3099
				Adj R-squared =	0.2803
				Root MSE =	2502.2

price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
w1	1.998095	1.423422	1.40	0.165	-.8408306	4.83702
w2	.9836392	.9768691	1.01	0.317	-.9646648	2.931943
w3	-.9777821	.9785287	-1.00	0.321	-2.929396	.9738319
_cons	114.4055	1177.767	0.10	0.923	-2234.576	2463.387

```
. corr price w1 w2 w3
```

(obs=74)

	price	w1	w2	w3
price	1.0000			
w1	0.5386	1.0000		
w2	0.5389	0.9347	1.0000	
w3	0.4644	0.9299	0.8695	1.0000

```
. sw, pe(.05): reg price w1 w2 w3
```

```
begin with empty model
p = 0.0000 < 0.0500 adding w2
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	74
Model	184420235	1	184420235	F(1, 72) =	29.46
Residual	450645161	72	6258960.58	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	635065396	73	8699525.97	R-squared =	0.2904
				Adj R-squared =	0.2805
				Root MSE =	2501.8

price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
w2	1.884568	.3471831	5.43	0.000	1.192471 2.576664
_cons	474.8814	1087.899	0.44	0.664	-1693.806 2643.569

III. Computation and interpretation. (35 points total) The Indiana State legislature is considering a measure that would make gay marriage unconstitutional. The Indianapolis Chamber of Commerce opposes the measure because it worries that the resolution will cast the state as intolerant and put off talented workers who might otherwise relocate to Indianapolis. The Chamber has therefore commissioned a study of 10,000 Hoosiers to see where residents of the state stand on the issue. The variables are

Variable	Description
gaymarriage	Support for gay marriage. Ranges from a low of -200 (strongly oppose gay marriage) to a high of 200 (strongly favor)
educ	Years of education
age	Age of the respondent, in years
evangel	Coded 1 if the respondent is an evangelical Christian, 0 otherwise
black	Coded 1 if the respondent is black, 0 otherwise

An analysis of the data yields the following results. [NOTE: You'll need some parts of the following to answer the questions, but other parts are extraneous. You'll have to figure out which is which.]

```
. sum
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
age	10337	47.5637	17.21678	20	74
black	10337	.1050595	.3066449	0	1
evangel	10337	.2907033	.4541088	0	1
educ	10337	14.26352	5.043619	5	20
gaymarriage	10337	23.12387	50.68773	-188.7194	186.1061

```
. reg gaymarriage evangel black educ age, beta
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	10337
Model	14993619.8	4	3748404.95	F(4, 10332) =	3349.61
Residual	11562101.6	10332	1119.05746	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	26555721.4	10336	[2]	R-squared =	[1]
				Adj R-squared =	
				Root MSE =	33.452

gaymarriage	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	Beta
evangel	-42.53951	.7288237	[3]	0.000	-.3811094
black	-34.44778	1.078767	-31.93	0.000	-.2083983
educ	6.174029	.0652522	94.62	0.000	.6143391
age	-.2635312	.0191403	-13.77	0.000	-.089512
_cons	[4]	1.38087	-26.37	0.000	.

```
. estat hettest
```

Breusch-Pagan / Cook-Weisberg test for heteroskedasticity

Ho: Constant variance

Variables: fitted values of gaymarriage

chi2(1) = 49.70

Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

```
. pcorr gaymarriage evangel black educ age
```

```
(obs=10337)
```

Partial and semipartial correlations of gaymarriage with

Variable	Partial Corr.	Semipartial Corr.	Partial Corr.^2	Semipartial Corr.^2	Significance Value
evangel	-0.4980	-0.3789	0.2480	0.1436	0.0000
black	-0.2997	-0.2073	0.0898	0.0430	0.0000
educ	0.6813	0.6142	0.4642	0.3773	0.0000
age	-0.1342	-0.0894	0.0180	0.0080	0.0000

```
. predict rstandard, rstandard
```

```
. sum rstandard
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
rstandard	10337	-8.04e-07	1.000047	-3.671386	3.441897

```
. test evangel black educ age
```

```
( 1) evangel = 0
```

```
( 2) black = 0
```

```
( 3) educ = 0
```

```
( 4) age = 0
```

F(4, 10332) = [5]

Prob > F = 0.0000

```
. test evangel = black
```

```
( 1) evangel - black = 0
```

F(1, 10332) = 42.49

Prob > F = 0.0000

```
. reg gaymarriage evangel black educ age, beta robust
```

Linear regression

```
Number of obs = 10337
F( 4, 10332) = 3387.31
Prob > F      = 0.0000
R-squared     = 0.5646
Root MSE     = 33.452
```

gaymarriage	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	Beta
evangel	-42.53951	.723011	-58.84	0.000	-.3811094
black	-34.44778	1.087479	-31.68	0.000	-.2083983
educ	6.174029	.0642269	96.13	0.000	.6143391
age	-.2635312	.0191713	-13.75	0.000	-.089512
_cons	-36.41955	1.385137	-26.29	0.000	.

a) (10 pts) Fill in the missing quantities [1] – [5]. (A few other values have also been blanked out, but you don't need to fill them in.)

b) (25 points) Answer the following questions about the analysis and the results, explaining how the printout supports your conclusions.

1. Summarize the key findings. What groups or types of individuals are most supportive of gay marriage and which are least supportive?

2. There was a problem with the study that almost caused the variable age not to be measured. How would R^2 have declined if age was not included in the model?

3. Why did the researchers run the regression a second time? What, if anything, was different about the two regressions? Do the differences have any major effects on the conclusions?

4. Before she began the study, the researcher expected education to be the least important determinant of support for gay marriage. Indicate whether you think the results support or do not support her belief.

5. The statistician preparing the report is very annoyed with her assistant who did the computer runs. She specifically told him that she wanted an incremental F test of the hypothesis that neither evangel nor black affected support for gay marriage, NOT just separate t tests of each coefficient; but she says the output does not contain the information she needs. Explain why you either agree or disagree with her; if you disagree, give her the information she wants.