

Sociology 63993
Exam 1
February 12, 2010

I. *True-False.* (20 points) Indicate whether the following statements are true or false. If false, briefly explain why.

1. A researcher runs the following commands:

```
. reg health female black rural
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	10335
Model	442.139589	3	147.379863	F(3, 10331) =	104.34
Residual	14592.8818	10331	1.41253333	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.0294
				Adj R-squared =	0.0291
Total	15035.0214	10334	1.4549082	Root MSE =	1.1885

health	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
female	-.082975	.0234247	-3.54	0.000	-.128892 -.037058
black	-.5846982	.0387848	-15.08	0.000	-.660724 -.5086724
rural	-.2782157	.0246852	-11.27	0.000	-.3266035 -.2298279
_cons	3.621027	.0201806	179.43	0.000	3.581469 3.660585

```
. pcorr2 health female black rural
```

```
(obs=10335)
```

Partial and Semipartial correlations of health with

Variable	Partial	SemiP	Partial^2	SemiP^2	Sig.
female	-0.0348	-0.0343	0.0012	0.0012	0.000
black	-0.1467	-0.1461	0.0215	0.0214	0.000
rural	-0.1102	-0.1092	0.0121	0.0119	0.000

If she now does a backwards stepwise regression, the variable `female` will be dropped from the model.

2. Serial correlation causes OLS estimates to be biased.

3. The null and alternative hypotheses are

$H_0: \beta_{\text{female}} = 0$

$H_A: \beta_{\text{female}} > 0$

In her analysis, the researcher finds that

. reg health female

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 10335		
Model	15.4391056	1	15.4391056	F(1, 10333) = 10.62		
Residual	15019.5823	10333	1.45355485	Prob > F = 0.0011		
Total	15035.0214	10334	1.4549082	R-squared = 0.0010		
				Adj R-squared = 0.0009		
				Root MSE = 1.2056		

health	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
female	-.077398	.0237484	-3.26	0.001	-.1239495	-.0308465
_cons	3.454471	.0172076	200.75	0.000	3.420741	3.488202

If the researcher is using the .01 level of significance, she should NOT reject the null.

4. If you regress Y on X, and $R^2 = 0$, this means that there is no relationship between Y and X.
5. The reason OLS is not optimal when multicollinearity is present is that it gives equal weight to all observations when, in fact, observations with larger disturbance variance contain less information than observations with smaller disturbance variance.

II. Short answer. Discuss all three of the following problems. (15 points each, 45 points total.) In each case, the researcher has used Stata to test for a possible problem, concluded that there is a problem, and then adopted a strategy to address that problem. Explain (a) what problem the researcher was testing for, and why she concluded that there was a problem, (b) the rationale behind the solution she chose, i.e. how does it try to address the problem, and (c) one alternative solution she could have tried, and why. (NOTE: a few sentences on each point will probably suffice – you don't have to repeat everything that was in the lecture notes.)

II-1.

. regress warm yr89 male white age ed prst

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 1146		
Model	124.547769	6	20.7579616	F(6, 1139) = 28.12		
Residual	840.748042	1139	.738145779	Prob > F = 0.0000		
Total	965.295812	1145	.84305311	R-squared = 0.1290		
				Adj R-squared = 0.1244		
				Root MSE = .85915		

warm	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
yr89	.1960047	.0533578	3.67	0.000	.0913141	.3006953
male	-.352923	.0510827	-6.91	0.000	-.4531499	-.2526962
white	-.2104459	.0806038	-2.61	0.009	-.3685945	-.0522973
age	-.0117717	.0016364	-7.19	0.000	-.0149823	-.0085611
ed	.0244172	.0104879	2.33	0.020	.0038394	.044995
prst	.0022522	.0022071	1.02	0.308	-.0020781	.0065826
_cons	3.022827	.154743	19.53	0.000	2.719214	3.32644

```
. sum warm yr89 male white age ed prst, sep(7)
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
warm	2293	2.607501	.9282156	1	4
yr89	2293	.3986044	.4897178	0	1
male	2293	.4648932	.4988748	0	1
white	2293	.8765809	.3289894	0	1
age	1146	44.34555	16.69399	19	89
ed	2293	12.21805	3.160827	0	20
prst	2293	39.58526	14.49226	12	82

```
. mi set mlong
```

```
. mi register imputed age
```

```
(1147 m=0 obs. now marked as incomplete)
```

```
. mi register regular warm yr89 male white ed prst
```

```
. mi impute regress age warm yr89 male white ed prst, add(100) rseed(123)
```

```
Univariate imputation      Imputations =      100
Linear regression           added =      100
Imputed: m=1 through m=100  updated =       0
```

Variable	Observations per m			total
	complete	incomplete	imputed	
age	1146	1147	1147	2293

```
(complete + incomplete = total; imputed is the minimum across m
of the number of filled in observations.)
```

```
. mi estimate: regress warm yr89 male white age ed prst
```

```
Multiple-imputation estimates      Imputations =      100
Linear regression                  Number of obs =     2293
                                   Average RVI   =     0.1909
                                   Complete DF    =     2286
DF adjustment: Small sample        DF: min     =     276.39
                                   avg      =    1477.89
                                   max      =    2126.35
Model F test: Equal FMI            F( 6, 2031.6) =     47.78
Within VCE type: OLS               Prob > F    =     0.0000
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
warm						
yr89	.2510464	.0384582	6.53	0.000	.1756255	.3264674
male	-.3395849	.0371148	-9.15	0.000	-.41237	-.2667999
white	-.1807239	.0568923	-3.18	0.002	-.2922958	-.0691519
age	-.0119027	.0016758	-7.10	0.000	-.0152017	-.0086037
ed	.0266213	.008149	3.27	0.001	.0106352	.0426075
prst	.003211	.0016106	1.99	0.046	.0000522	.0063698
_cons	2.897334	.1345408	21.53	0.000	2.633084	3.161584

//-2.

. reg psyscale female black rural

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	10335
Model	325591.507	3	108530.502	F(3, 10331) =	19.43
Residual	57707488.4	10331	5585.85698	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.0056
				Adj R-squared =	0.0053
Total	58033079.9	10334	5615.7422	Root MSE =	74.739

psyscale	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
female	-3.223899	1.473059	-2.19	0.029	-6.11138	-.3364178
black	-13.703	2.438975	-5.62	0.000	-18.48386	-8.922137
rural	-8.843438	1.552324	-5.70	0.000	-11.88629	-5.800583
_cons	61.179	1.269053	48.21	0.000	58.69141	63.66658

. predict rstandard, rstandard

(2 missing values generated)

. extremes rstandard psyscale female black rural

obs:	rstandard	psyscale	female	black	rural
4840.	-.9971863	-13.33856	0	0	0
4932.	-.94367	-12.56431	1	0	0
939.	-.9420472	-9.218133	0	0	0
5794.	-.9130703	-10.27764	1	0	0
1724.	-.9067409	-6.579773	0	0	0

4573.	1.233326	130.7467	0	1	1
378.	1.251217	137.7192	1	1	0
4092.	1.280469	143.1267	0	1	0
4566.	1.289685	134.9561	0	1	1
12.	82.27164	6190.022	1	1	0

. replace psyscale = psyscale/100 in 12

(1 real change made)

. reg psyscale female black rural

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	10335
Model	489028.274	3	163009.425	F(3, 10331) =	84.63
Residual	19899499.5	10331	1926.19296	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.0240
				Adj R-squared =	0.0237
Total	20388527.7	10334	1972.95604	Root MSE =	43.888

psyscale	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
female	-4.310959	.865018	-4.98	0.000	-6.006562	-2.615357
black	-19.25608	1.432229	-13.44	0.000	-22.06352	-16.44863
rural	-8.594151	.9115645	-9.43	0.000	-10.38099	-6.807308
_cons	61.64872	.7452205	82.73	0.000	60.18794	63.1095

//-3.

. reg y x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7 x8 x9

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	3975
Model	56489.8865	9	6276.65406	F(9, 3965) =	1.11
Residual	22323032.1	3965	5630.02071	Prob > F =	0.3481
				R-squared =	0.0025
				Adj R-squared =	0.0003
Total	22379522	3974	5631.48515	Root MSE =	75.033

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x1	1.441756	2.724341	0.53	0.597	-3.899484	6.782996
x2	2.83684	2.691752	1.05	0.292	-2.440507	8.114187
x3	2.851331	3.009868	0.95	0.344	-3.049704	8.752366
x4	.5989067	2.5459	0.24	0.814	-4.392488	5.590302
x5	1.117681	2.795055	0.40	0.689	-4.362199	6.59756
x6	-.5185488	2.903098	-0.18	0.858	-6.210253	5.173156
x7	1.200175	2.720099	0.44	0.659	-4.132749	6.533099
x8	4.261204	3.082074	1.38	0.167	-1.781395	10.3038
x9	-.6321259	2.85072	-0.22	0.825	-6.22114	4.956888
_cons	-3.166028	2.651549	-1.19	0.233	-8.364556	2.0325

. alpha x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7 x8 x9, i gen(xscale)

Test scale = mean(unstandardized items)

Item	Obs	Sign	item-test correlation	item-rest correlation	average interitem covariance	alpha
x1	3975	+	0.4752	0.3094	.0591653	0.7351
x2	3975	+	0.5814	0.4238	.0543645	0.7167
x3	3975	+	0.5262	0.3809	.0575461	0.7237
x4	3975	+	0.5010	0.3259	.0577938	0.7336
x5	3975	+	0.6197	0.4706	.0527024	0.7084
x6	3975	+	0.6540	0.5156	.0513415	0.7006
x7	3975	+	0.5304	0.3664	.0566869	0.7263
x8	3975	+	0.6009	0.4629	.0543247	0.7107
x9	3975	+	0.6440	0.4998	.0515661	0.7031
Test scale					.0550546	0.7412

. reg y xscale

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	3975
Model	44837.8037	1	44837.8037	F(1, 3973) =	7.98
Residual	22334684.2	3973	5621.61696	Prob > F =	0.0048
				R-squared =	0.0020
				Adj R-squared =	0.0018
Total	22379522	3974	5631.48515	Root MSE =	74.977

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
xscale	12.32454	4.363945	2.82	0.005	3.768761	20.88033
_cons	-2.539008	2.398131	-1.06	0.290	-7.24069	2.162674

III. Computation and interpretation. (35 points total) Despite recent setbacks, the Obama administration is determined to pass health care reform this year. In order to do this, it thinks it first needs to assess where the public support and opposition to health care reform lies. It has therefore commissioned a survey of 10,337 Americans and measured the following:

Variable	Description
hcare	Support for health care reform. Ranges from a low of 0 to a high of 200
health	Overall health of the respondent. Ranges from 0 (very poor health) to 100 (very good health).
age	Age of the respondent, in years
gop	Coded 1 if the respondent is a Republican, 0 otherwise
black	Coded 1 if the respondent is black, 0 otherwise

An analysis of the data yields the following results. [NOTE: You'll need some parts of the following to answer the questions, but other parts are extraneous. You'll have to figure out which is which.]

```
. sum
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
hcare	10337	71.90088	15.35515	30.84	175.88
health	10337	57.34875	9.660012	25	89.5
age	10337	47.5637	17.21678	20	74
gop	10337	.525104	.4993935	0	1
black	10337	.1050595	.3066449	0	1

```
. collin health age gop black
```

Collinearity Diagnostics

Variable	VIF	SQRT VIF	Tolerance	R- Squared
health	2.12	1.46	[1]	0.5283
age	1.09	1.04	0.9209	0.0791
gop	2.03	1.42	0.4926	0.5074
black	1.00	1.00	0.9988	0.0012

Mean VIF 1.56

[Part of output deleted]

. reg hcare health age gop black, beta

Source	SS	df	MS
Model	620082.606	4	155020.652
Residual	1816944.64	10332	175.856044
Total	2437027.25	10336	[3]

Number of obs = 10337
F(4, 10332) = 881.52
Prob > F = 0.0000
R-squared = [2]
Adj R-squared = -----
Root MSE = 13.261

hcare	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	Beta
health	-.7485279	.01966	-38.07	0.000	-.4709032
age	.1237255	-----	[4]	0.000	.1387257
gop	-1.540187	.3721392	-4.14	0.000	-.0500913
black	3.679295	.4256284	8.64	0.000	.0734762
_cons	[5]	.9741076	112.27	0.000	.

. test age

(1) age = 0

F(1, 10332) = 245.60
Prob > F = 0.0000

. test black = -gop

(1) gop + black = 0

F(1, 10332) = 14.51
Prob > F = 0.0001

. estat hettest

Breusch-Pagan / Cook-Weisberg test for heteroskedasticity
Ho: Constant variance
Variables: fitted values of hcare

chi2(1) = 1.65
Prob > chi2 = 0.1986

. estat imtest, white

White's test for Ho: homoskedasticity
against Ha: unrestricted heteroskedasticity

chi2(12) = 127.51
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Cameron & Trivedi's decomposition of IM-test

Source	chi2	df	p
Heteroskedasticity	127.51	12	0.0000
Skewness	262.14	4	0.0000
Kurtosis	41.56	1	0.0000
Total	431.22	17	0.0000

```
. pcorr2 hcare health age gop black
```

```
(obs=10337)
```

Partial and Semipartial correlations of hcare with

Variable	Partial	SemiP	Partial^2	SemiP^2	Sig.
health	-0.3508	-0.3234	0.1230	0.1046	0.000
age	0.1524	0.1331	0.0232	0.0177	0.000
gop	-0.0407	-0.0352	0.0017	0.0012	0.000
black	0.0847	0.0734	0.0072	0.0054	0.000

```
. alpha health age gop black
```

```
Test scale = mean(unstandardized items)
```

```
Reversed item: black
```

```
Average interitem covariance: 6.326097
```

```
Number of items in the scale: 4
```

```
Scale reliability coefficient: 0.2172
```

```
. alpha health age gop black, s
```

```
Test scale = mean(standardized items)
```

```
Reversed item: black
```

```
Average interitem correlation: 0.1570
```

```
Number of items in the scale: 4
```

```
Scale reliability coefficient: 0.4269
```

- a) (10 pts) Fill in the missing quantities [1] – [5]. (A few other values have also been blanked out, but you don't need to fill them in.)
- b) (5 pts) Summarize the key findings. What groups are most supportive of health care reform and which groups are least supportive?
- c) (20 pts) Before she began her analyses, the researcher had several expectations. Indicate whether you think the evidence tends to support or not support her ideas. Be sure to cite evidence from the printout to justify your conclusions.

1. Despite Obama's recent setbacks, a majority of Americans still do not consider themselves to be Republicans.
2. Heteroskedasticity are not present in these data.
3. Black support for health care reform is stronger than GOP opposition to it.
4. black is the least important variable in determining support for health care reform.
5. It would be a bad idea to try to create a single scale from her independent variables.