Sociology 63993 Exam 1 February 13, 2009

- *I. True-False.* (20 points) Indicate whether the following statements are true or false. If false, briefly explain why.
- 1. A researcher has written her own computer program to compute regression estimates. She gets F = 17, $R^2 = .25$, Adjusted $R^2 = .27$. As far as we can tell, her program is working correctly.
- 2. Cook's distance is used to test for serial correlation.
- 3. One of the rare times when pairwise deletion of missing data is desirable is when skip patterns have caused data for some cases to be missing.
- 4. Random measurement error results in biased estimates of means, correlations and covariances.
- 5. Robust regression routines work best when it is the DVs that have outliers rather than the IVs.
- II. Short answer. Discuss all three of the following problems. (15 points each, 45 points total.) In each case, the researcher has used Stata to test for a possible problem, concluded that there is a problem, and then adopted a strategy to address that problem. Explain (a) what problem the researcher was testing for, and why she concluded that there was a problem, (b) the rationale behind the solution she chose, i.e. how does it try to address the problem, and (c) one alternative solution she could have tried, and why. (NOTE: a few sentences on each point will probably suffice you don't have to repeat everything that was in the lecture notes.)

II-1.

. reg warmlt2 yr89 male white age ed prst

Source Model Residual	SS + 14.1569236 244.374258		MS 2.35948727 .106900375		Number of obs F(6, 2286) Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= 22.07 = 0.0000 = 0.0548
Total	258.531182	2292	.1127972		Root MSE	= .32696
warmlt2	Coef.	Std. E	rr. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
yr89 male white age ed prst _cons	0905367 .0355746 .0460708 .0018563 0131147 .0004411 .1680543	.0141 .01374 .02099 .00043 .0028 .00058	34 2.59 17 2.19 63 4.25 27 -4.64 46 0.75	0.000 0.010 0.028 0.000 0.000 0.451 0.000	1183594 .0086236 .004906 .0010006 0186586 0007054 .0870283	0627139 .0625255 .0872357 .0027119 0075709 .0015875 .2490803

. hettest

 ${\tt Breusch-Pagan}\ /\ {\tt Cook-Weisberg}\ {\tt test}\ {\tt for}\ {\tt heteroskedasticity}$

Ho: Constant variance

Variables: fitted values of warmlt2

chi2(1) = 306.86 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

. tab1 warmlt2, nolabel

-> tabulation of warmlt2

1=SD; 0=D,A,SA	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0 1	1,996 297	87.05 12.95	87.05 100.00
Total	2,293	100.00	

. reg warm1t2 yr89 male white age ed prst, robust

Linear regression

Number of obs = 2293 F(6, 2286) = 21.21 Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.0548 Root MSE = .32696

warmlt2	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
yr89	0905367	.0130228	-6.95	0.000	1160745	0649988
male	.0355746	.0139546	2.55	0.011	.0082096	.0629395
white	.0460708	.0183061	2.52	0.012	.0101726	.0819691
age	.0018563	.0004533	4.10	0.000	.0009673	.0027452
ed	0131147	.0031327	-4.19	0.000	019258	0069715
prst	.0004411	.0006136	0.72	0.472	0007622	.0016443
_cons	.1680543	.0421927	3.98	0.000	.0853144	.2507942

*II-*2.

. reg y x1 x2 x3 x4

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs F(4, 2288)	= 2293 = 24.60
Model Residual	81.427377 1893.3236		0.3568442 327501575		Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= 0.0000 = 0.0412
Total	1974.75098	2292 .	361584198		Root MSE	= .90967
У	Coef.	Std. Er	r. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
x1 x2 x3 x4 cons	.0001393 0043145 0025131 0044104	.00330 .003301 .003299 .003305	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.191 0.446 0.182	0063436 0107895 0089835 0108925 3.000334	.0066223 .0021605 .0039573 .0020716

. test x1 = x2 = x3 = x4

- (1) x1 x2 = 0(2) x1 x3 = 0(3) x1 x4 = 0
- - F(3, 2288) = 0.31 Prob > F = 0.8152
- . gen x1234 = x1 + x2 + x3 + x4
- . reg y x1234

Source	SS	df 	MS		Number of obs F(1, 2291)		
Model Residual	80.647724 1894.10326	1 2291	80.647724 .826758296		Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= 0.000 $= 0.040$	0 (8
•	1974.75098					= .9092	
у	Coef.				[95% Conf.	Interval	.]
x1234		.000282	11 -9.88	0.000	003327 3.000602	002224 3.21226	

II-3.

. reg price mpg weight length foreign

Source	SS SS	df	I	4S		Number of obs		875
Model Residual	1.0147e+09 1.2653e+09	4 870	25367 145	74918 54327		F(4, 870) Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= =	174.43 0.0000 0.4451 0.4425
Total	2.2800e+09	874	260865	54.65		Root MSE	=	1206
price	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
mpg weight length foreign _cons	-38.37705 -3910697 61.42098 1893.053 -4470.567	10.34 .2983 7.731 89.09 943.7	449 232 917	-3.71 -1.31 7.94 21.25 -4.74	0.000 0.190 0.000 0.000 0.000	-58.67342 9766296 46.24694 1718.179 -6322.895	7 2	8.08068 1944903 6.59503 067.928 618.238

. sum

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
price	1850	6165.257	2930.291	3291	15906
mpg	1850	21.2973	5.747833	12	41
weight	875	2312.571	342.109	1760	2930
length	1850	187.9324	22.12136	142	233
foreign	1850	.2972973	.4571921	0	1

. impute weight mpg length foreign, gen(xweight)

52.70% (975) observations imputed

. reg price mpg xweight length foreign

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs		1850
Model Residual	5.4367e+09 1.0440e+10		1.3592e+09 5658506.19		F(4, 1845) Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= = = =	240.20 0.0000 0.3424 0.3410
Total	1.5877e+10	1849	8586606.22		Root MSE	=	2378.8
price	Coef.	Std. E	Err. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
mpg xweight length foreign _cons	-143.8506 391066 68.06994 2611.786 -3244.343	17.546 .58848 13.552 156.46 1307.1	392 -0.66 269 5.02 379 16.69	0.506 0.000 0.000	-178.2628 -1.545241 41.48971 2304.913 -5808.06	9	09.4384 7631088 4.65017 918.658 80.6273

III. Computation and interpretation. (35 points total)

A graduate student wants to do her dissertation on the determinants of women's socio-economic status (SES). To see whether the idea is worth pursuing, she is analyzing a few key variables that were collected as part of a nationwide study of 488 women. Her measures include the following:

Variable	Description
ses	Socio-Economic Status scale. Ranges from a low of 0 to a high of 100.
nev_mar	Coded 1 if the woman has never been married, 0 otherwise
rural	Coded 1 if the respondent lives in a rural area, 0 otherwise
school	Number of years of schooling respondent has completed
tenure	Number of years respondent has worked in her current job

An analysis of the data yields the following results. [NOTE: You'll need some parts of the following to answer the questions, but other parts are extraneous. You'll have to figure out which is which.]

. reg ses nev_mar rural school tenure

Source	ss	df	MS		Number of obs F(4, 483)		488 75.44
Model Residual	29626.8441 47422.5089		7406.71104 98.1832482		Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	=	[1] [2] 0.3794
Total	77049.353	487	158.212224		Root MSE		9.9087
ses	Coef.	Std. E	rr. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
nev_mar rural school tenure _cons		1.0013 1.0258 .17193 .12327 2.2738	29 [3] 65 11.30 43 8.10	0.000 0.000 0.000	-2.106304 -6.759023 1.605343 .7639161 12.72229	-2 2 1	.828673 .727744 .281015 .248356

. pcorr2 ses nev_mar rural school tenure

(obs=488)

Partial and Semipartial correlations of ses with

 ig.
.890 .000 .000

. sum

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
ses	488	43.32709	12.57824	2.465307	84.2362
nev_mar	488	.2868852	.4527717	0	1
rural	488	.272541	.4457236	0	1
school	488	12.71107	2.70533	0	18
tenure	488	2.752732	3.776793	0	21.75

. test nev_mar rural school tenure

- (1) nev_mar = 0 (2) rural = 0 (3) school = 0 (4) tenure = 0

$$F(4,483) = 75.44$$

 $Prob > F = 0.0000$

. collin nev_mar rural school tenure

Collinearity Diagnostics

Variable	VIF	SQRT VIF	Tolerance	R- Squared
nev_mar rural school tenure	1.02 1.04 1.07 1.08	1.01 1.02 1.04 1.04	0.9808 0.9643 [5] 0.9301	0.0192 0.0357 0.0682 0.0699
Mean VIF	1.05			

. estat imtest, white

```
White's test for Ho: homoskedasticity
against Ha: unrestricted heteroskedasticity

chi2(12) = 6.91
Prob > chi2 = 0.8637
```

Cameron & Trivedi's decomposition of IM-test

Source	 +	chi2	df	p
Heteroskedasticity Skewness Kurtosis	 	6.91 1.50 6.72	12 4 1	0.8637 0.8272 0.0096
Total		15.12	17	0.5868

. test school = tenure

```
(1) school - tenure = 0 F(1, 483) = 16.28Prob > F = 0.0001
```

- a) (10 pts) Fill in the missing quantities [1] [5].
- b) (25 points) Answer the following questions about the analysis and the results, explaining how the printout supports your conclusions.
- 1. Summarize the key results. What percentage of the women have never been married? How many live in rural areas? What types of women have the highest SES scores, and which types of women have the lowest?
- 2. The researcher was worried that missing data, heteroskedasticity, and/or multicollinearity might be problematic. Based on the results, are they?
- 3. The researcher had hypothesized that years in current job (tenure) would have a significantly larger effect on ses than would years in school (school). Do the results support her hypothesis?
- 4. The researcher debated whether or not to include the variable rural in her model. If she had not included it, how would the R^2 have been affected?
- 5. The researcher's daughter has just graduated from high school. She wants to spend the next four years living on a farm taking a richly deserved vacation from school and work. According to the researcher's model, if her daughter instead spends those years going to college at UCLA in Los Angeles, what will be the expected impact on her socio-economic status?