Sociology 593 Exam 1 February 13, 1998


- *I. True-False.* (20 points) Indicate whether the following statements are true or false. If false, briefly explain why.
- 1. When outliers are eliminated from a data set, correlations between variables will always go up.
- 2. The null and alternative hypotheses are

$$H_0$$
: $\beta = 0$

$$H_A$$
: $\beta < 0$

In the sample, N = 500, b = 7, $s_b = 3.00$. If you are using the .05 level of significance, you should reject the null hypothesis.

- 3. When doing an incremental F test, the sample size for the unconstrained model will be greater than the sample size for the constrained model.
- 4. Because of skip patterns, women are not asked questions 15-20 in a survey. The researcher should therefore use pairwise deletion of missing data when working with those questions.
- 5. As we saw, larger samples tend to produce larger F values. This is because, the larger the sample, the larger R^2 tends to be.
- II. Short answer. (15 pts. Each, 45 points total). For <u>each</u> of the following, indicate (i) what problem appears to be present (and how you can tell that from the information given) (ii) why you should be concerned about the problem, i.e. what harmful effects might it have when estimating regression models, and (iii) possible solutions. When discussing solutions, be sure to look carefully at the information presented; if, in this particular case, some solutions appear to be better than others, explain why.
- 1. A researcher wants to regress Y on X. When she plots the data, she gets the following (the diagonal line is the estimated regression line):



2. X1 and X2 are both measured on scales that run from -7 to +7. Y is the dependent variable.

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Υ	5.0096	46.5603	100
X1	0803	6.4982	100
X2	.0019	7.0156	100

Correlations

		Υ	X1	X2
Pearson	Υ	1.000	.423	.406
Correlation	X1	.423	1.000	.952
	X2	.406	.952	1.000
Sig.	Υ		.000	.000
(1-tailed)	X1	.000		.000
	X2	.000	.000	
N	Y	100	100	100
	X1	100	100	100
	X2	100	100	100

Model Summary

				Std. Error
			Adjusted	of the
Model	R	R Square	R Square	Estimate
1	.423 ^a	.179	.162	42.6225

a. Predictors: (Constant), X2, X1

ANOVA^b

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	38400.99	2	19200.50	10.569	.000 ^a
	Residual	176217.6	97	1816.676		
	Total	214618.6	99			

a. Predictors: (Constant), X2, X1

b. Dependent Variable: Y

Coefficients^a

		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardi zed Coefficie nts		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	5.232	4.266		1.226	.223
	X1	2.774	2.150	.387	1.290	.200
	X2	.249	1.992	.038	.125	.901

a. Dependent Variable: Y

3. A psychologist is doing a study of 6th grade students. She believes that the attitudes of young girls are strongly affected by their friends' beliefs. However, for young boys, she thinks that attitudes of friends have little effect on beliefs. She is therefore interesting in making comparisons between boys and girls. When she administers her questionnaire, she notices that girls think very carefully when answering, while boys tend to just rush through items quickly.

III. Computation and interpretation. (35 points; up to 10 points extra credit) Intravenous drug use has been cited as a major factor in the spread of AIDS. When drug addicts share dirty needles and/or engage in unsafe sex, the AIDS virus can easily be spread from one user to another. As a result, a number of programs have recently been launched which aim to get drug users to follow safer practices. Most programs rely on outreach workers who contact drug users, try to educate them about safe practices, give them bleach for cleaning needles, etc. Such programs are very expensive and have had only limited effectiveness. A new proposal calls for a <u>user-driven</u> approach. Under this system, addicts will be paid small stipends for recruiting other users into the program, for distributing bleach and condoms, and for assisting in educational efforts. In addition, attempts will be made to develop group norms among drug users which encourage safe practices. If successful, the new program may be much less expensive than current approaches and also more effective, because users will internalize the attitudes needed to sustain the safe practices.

To test this idea, two communities have been selected for study. In one community, a conventional outreach program using social workers will be set up. In the other community, the user-driven approach will be tried. The two communities are similar to each other in many ways but, as is so often the case in real-world experiments, there is no guarantee that there are not some important differences between them.

Some of the variables that might be examined in this analysis are:

AidsIQ Participants will be asked a number of questions about "safe" practices

(safe insofar as they reduce the chance of getting or transmitting Aids). The more questions right, the higher the score. Both programs hope that their educational efforts will raise the AidsIQ of the drug user population;

hence, this will be the dependent variable in the current analysis

UserDriv This variable is coded 1 if the subject is participating in the user-driven

program, 0 if participating in the conventional program. Obviously, the researchers are hoping that participants in the user-driven program get

higher AidsIQ scores than those in the conventional program.

Female This variable is coded 1 if the subject is female, 0 if male

Educ Years of education.

The latter two variables (Female and Educ) are included because (1) they may be related to AidsIQ, e.g. women and/or better educated subjects may know more about safe practices, and (2) the two communities chosen for the study may not be completely comparable on these variables - e.g. one community might have more women or better-educated drug users than the other - hence the researchers want to make sure that apparent differences between the two programs are not actually due to community differences in education and gender.

Following are <u>hypothetical</u> results from this proposed study. Stepwise regression was used to estimate three models, the first and last of which are presented here:

Model I: Bivariate Regression.

	Mean Sto	d Dev Lab	el				
AIDSIQ 57 USERDRIV FEMALE EDUC 10	.500	.501 .402					
N of Cases =	500						
Correlation:							
	AIDSIQ	USERDRIV	FEMALE	EDUC			
AIDSIQ USERDRIV FEMALE EDUC	.400	1.000	.100 1.000	.600 .100			
Equation Num	mber 1	Dependent	Variable	AIDSIQ			
	Va	ariables i	n the Equat:	ion			
Variable		В	SE B	Beta	T Sig T		
USERDRIV (Constant)							
		Varia	bles not in	the Equation	on		
Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	VIF	Min Toler	Т	Sig T
FEMALE EDUC	.171875		.640000	1.563		3.383	

- **a.** (5 points) Since forward stepwise selection is being used, why was USERDRIV the first variable selected? What variable should be added to the equation next? [HINT. <u>Variables not in the equation</u> tells you what the parameter estimates for a variable would be if it were entered into the model next.]
- **b.** (10 points) Interpret the results from Model I. What proportion of the sample is female? How many years of education does the average drug user have? Do you think the researchers would be happy with the results from the regression model? Why or why not?

Model III: Multivariate regression

Multiple R .50998 R Square .26008 Adjusted R Square .25561 Standard Error 12.94175

F = [1]

Variables in the Equation							
Variable	В	SE B	Beta	Correl	Tolerance	VIF	Т
USERDRIV	8.351967	1.447318	.278956	.400000	.638384	1.566	[2]
FEMALE	10.698092	1.450267	.286709	[3]	.987500	1.013	7.377
EDUC	1.282964	[4]	.153956	.350000	.638384	[5]	3.185
(Constant)	37.213275	3.878287					9.595

- **c.** (15 points; up to 10 points extra credit if you get all 5 right) Fill in <u>three</u> of the missing items [1] [5]. [HINT: If one formula does not seem to be working, try using an alternative formula. Remember that the means and correlations were already presented with Model I.]
- **d.** (5 points) Based on Model III, what would you say is the most important determinant of AidsIQ? Is this consistent with Model I and your answer in part a? What do you think accounts for any seeming discrepancy? [HINT: What does the correlation between USERDRIV and EDUC imply about how similar the two cities were before the study was conducted?]