Using Stata 11 & higher for Logistic Regression

Richard Williams, University of Notre Dame, https://academicweb.nd.edu/~rwilliam/ Last revised March 28, 2015

NOTE: The routines spost13, lrdrop1, and extremes are used in this handout. Use the findit command to locate and install them. See related handouts for the statistical theory underlying logistic regression and for SPSS examples. Most but not all of the commands shown in this handout will also work in earlier versions of Stata, but the syntax is sometimes a little different. The output may also look a little different in different versions of Stata.

Commands. Stata and SPSS differ a bit in their approach, but both are quite competent at handling logistic regression. With large data sets, I find that Stata tends to be far faster than SPSS, which is one of the many reasons I prefer it.

Stata has various commands for doing logistic regression. They differ in their default output and in some of the options they provide. My personal favorite is logit.

```
. use "https://academicweb.nd.edu/~rwilliam/statafiles/logist.dta", clear
. logit grade gpa tuce psi
```

```
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -20.59173
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -13.496795
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -12.929188
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -12.889941
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -12.889633
Iteration 5: log likelihood = -12.889633
```

Logit estimates	Number of obs	=	32
	LR chi2(3)	=	15.40
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0015
Log likelihood = -12.889633	Pseudo R2	=	0.3740

grade	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
gpa	2.826113	1.262941	2.24	0.025	.3507938	5.301432
tuce	.0951577	.1415542	0.67	0.501	1822835	.3725988
psi	2.378688	1.064564	2.23	0.025	.29218	4.465195
_cons	-13.02135	4.931325	-2.64	0.008	-22.68657	-3.35613

Note that the log likelihood for iteration 0 is LL_0 , i.e. it is the log likelihood when there are no explanatory variables in the model - only the constant term is included. The last log likelihood reported is LL_M . From these we easily compute

$$DEV_0 = -2LL_0 = -2 * -20.59173 = 41.18$$

 $DEV_M = -2LL_M = -2 * -12.889633 = 25.78$

Also note that the default output does not include exp(b). To get that, include the or parameter (or = odds ratios = exp(b)).

. logit grade gpa tuce psi, or nolog

Logistic regre	ssion			Numbe	r of obs	=	32
				LR ch	i2(3)	=	15.40
				Prob :	> chi2	=	0.0015
Log likelihood	= -12.889633	3		Pseud	o R2	=	0.3740
grade	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	z	P> z	 [95%	Conf.	Interval]
+							
gpa	16.87972	21.31809	2.24	0.025	1.420	194	200.6239
tuce	1.099832	.1556859	0.67	0.501	.8333	651	1.451502
psi	10.79073	11.48743	2.23	0.025	1.339	344	86.93802
_cons	2.21e-06	.0000109	-2.64	0.008	1.40e	-10	.03487

Or, you can use the logistic command, which reports exp(b) (odds ratios) by default:

. logistic grade gpa tuce psi

Logistic regre	ssion		LR chi	. ,	=	32 15.40	
Log likelihood	= -12.889633	3		Prob > Pseudo		= =	0.0015 0.3740
grade		Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
gpa tuce psi _cons	16.87972 1.099832 10.79073 2.21e-06	21.31809 .1556859 11.48743 .0000109	2.24 0.67 2.23 -2.64	0.025 0.501 0.025 0.008	1.420 .8333 1.339 1.40e	651 344	200.6239 1.451502 86.93802 .03487

[Note: Starting with Stata 12, the exponentiated constant is also reported]. To have logistic instead give you the coefficients,

. logistic grade gpa tuce psi, coef

Logistic regre		3		LR ch	er of obs ni2(3) > chi2 do R2	= = = =	32 15.40 0.0015 0.3740
grade	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
gpa tuce psi _cons	2.826113 .0951577 2.378688 -13.02135	1.262941 .1415542 1.064564 4.931325	2.24 0.67 2.23 -2.64	0.025 0.501 0.025 0.008	.3507 1822 .29 -22.68	835	5.301432 .3725988 4.465195 -3.35613

There are various other options of possible interest, e.g. just as with OLS regression you can specify robust standard errors, change the confidence interval and do stepwise logistic regression.

You can further enhance the functionality of Stata by downloading and installing spost13 (which includes several post-estimation commands) and lrdrop1. Use the findit command to get these. The rest of this handout assumes these routines are installed, so if a command isn't working, it is probably because you have not installed it.

Hypothesis testing. Stata makes you go to a little more work than SPSS does to make contrasts between nested models. You need to use the estimates store and lrtest commands. Basically, you estimate your models, store the results under some arbitrarily chosen name, and then use the lrtest command to contrast models. Let's run through a sequence of models:

```
. * Model 0: Intercept only
. quietly logit grade
. est store M0
. * Model 1: GPA added
. quietly logit grade gpa
. est store M1
. * Model 2: GPA + TUCE
. quietly logit grade gpa tuce
. est store M2
. * Model 3: GPA + TUCE + PSI
. quietly logit grade gpa tuce psi
. est store M3
. * Model 1 versus Model 0
. lrtest M1 M0
likelihood-ratio test
                                                        LR chi2(1) = 8.77
                                                         Prob > chi2 = 0.0031
(Assumption: M0 nested in M1)
. * Model 2 versus Model 1
. lrtest M2 M1
                                                          LR chi2(1) = 0.43

Prob > chi2 = 0.5096
likelihood-ratio test
(Assumption: M1 nested in M2)
. * Model 3 versus Model 2
. 1rtest M3 M2
                                                          LR chi2(1) = 6.20

Prob > chi2 = 0.0127
likelihood-ratio test
(Assumption: M2 nested in M3)
. * Model 3 versus Model 0
. 1rtest M3 M0
                                                          LR chi2(3) = 15.40

Prob > chi2 = 0.0015
likelihood-ratio test
(Assumption: M0 nested in M3)
```

Also note that the output includes z values for each coefficient (where z = coefficient divided by its standard error). SPSS reports these values squared and calls them Wald statistics. Technically, Wald statistics are not considered 100% optimal; it is better to do likelihood ratio tests, where you estimate the constrained model without the parameter and contrast it with the unconstrained model that includes the parameter. The lrdrop1 command makes this easy (also see the similar bicdrop1 command if you want BIC tests instead):

. logit grade gpa tuce psi

```
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -20.59173
[Intermediate iterations deleted]
Iteration 5: log likelihood = -12.889633
```

Logit estimates	Number of obs	=	32
	LR chi2(3)	=	15.40
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0015
Log likelihood = -12.889633	Pseudo R2	=	0.3740

grade	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
gpa	2.826113	1.262941	2.24	0.025	.3507938	5.301432
tuce	.0951577	.1415542	0.67	0.501	1822835	.3725988
psi	2.378688	1.064564	2.23	0.025	.29218	4.465195
_cons	-13.02135	4.931325	-2.64	0.008	-22.68657	-3.35613

. lrdrop1

Likelihood Ratio Tests: drop 1 term logit regression number of obs = 32

grade	Df	Chi2	P>Chi2	-2*log ll	Res. Df	AIC	
Original M	lodel			25.78	28	33.78	
-gpa	1	6.78	0.0092	32.56	27	38.56	
-tuce	1	0.47	0.4912	26.25	27	32.25	
-psi	1	6.20	0.0127	31.98	27	37.98	

Terms dropped one at a time in turn.

You can also use the test command for hypothesis testing, but the Wald tests that are estimated by the test command are considered inferior to estimating separate models and then doing LR chi-square contrasts of the results.

. test psi

```
(1) psi = 0

chi2(1) = 4.99

Prob > chi2 = 0.0255
```

Also, Stata 9 added the nestreg prefix. This makes it easy to estimate a sequence of nested models and do chi-square contrasts between them. The lr option tells nestreg to do likelihood ratio tests rather than Wald tests. This can be more time-consuming but is also more accurate. The store option is optional but, in this case, will store the results of each model as m1, m2, etc. This would be handy if, say, you wanted to do a chi-square contrast between model 3 and model 1.

. nestreg, lr store(m): logit grade gpa tuce psi

[intermediate output deleted]

į	Block	LL	LR	df	Pr > LR	AIC	BIC	İ
İ	1 2	-16.2089 -15.99148	8.77 0.43	1 1	0.0031 0.5096	36.4178 37.98296	39.34928 42.38017	i I
	3	-12.88963	6.20	1	0.0127	33.77927	39.64221	1

. lrtest m3 m1

Likelihood-ratio test LR chi2(2) = 6.64 (Assumption: m1 nested in m3) Prob > chi2 = 0.0362

Also, you don't have to enter variables one at a time; by putting parentheses around sets of variables, they will all get entered in the same block.

. nestreg, lr: logit grade gpa (tuce psi)

[intermediate output deleted]

Block	LL	LR	df	Pr > LR	AIC	BIC
	-16.2089 -12.88963	8.77 6.64				39.34928 39.64221

Note that AIC and BIC are reported. These are also useful statistics for comparing models, but I won't talk about them in this handout. Adding the stats option to lrtest will also cause these statistics to be reported, e.g.

. lrtest m3 m1, stats

Likelihood-ratio test LR chi2(2) = 6.64 (Assumption: m1 nested in m3) Prob > chi2 = 0.0362

Model	Obs	ll(null)	ll(model)	df	AIC	BIC
m1 m3		-20.59173 -20.59173	-16.2089 -12.88963	2	36.4178 33.77927	39.34928 39.64221

 R^2 analogs and goodness of fit measures. Although it is not clearly labeled, the Pseudo R^2 reported by Stata is McFadden's R^2 , which seems to be the most popular of the many alternative measures that are out there. One straightforward formula is

Pseudo
$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{LL_M}{LL_0} = 1 - \frac{-12.889633}{-20.59173} = 1 - .625961636 = .374$$

You can also get a bunch of other pseudo R^2 measures and goodness of fit statistics by typing fitstat (part of the spost13 routines) after you have estimated a logistic regression:

. fitstat

	logit
Log-likelihood	1
Model	-12.890
Intercept-only	-20.592
Chi-square	
Deviance (df=28)	25.779
LR (df=3)	15.404
p-value	0.002
R2	+
McFadden	0.374
McFadden (adjusted)	0.180
McKelvey & Zavoina	0.544
Cox-Snell/ML	0.382
Cragg-Uhler/Nagelkerke	0.528
Efron Tjur's D	0.426
Count.	0.429
Count (adjusted)	0.455
	+
IC	
AIC	33.779
AIC divided by N BIC (df=4)	1.056
bic (d1=4)	+
Variance of	1
е	3.290
y-star	7.210

To get the equivalent of SPSS's classification table, you can use the estat clas command (1stat also works). This command shows you how many cases were classified correctly and incorrectly, using a cutoff point of 50% for the predicted probability.

. lstat

Logistic model for grade

	True		
Classified	D	~D	Total
+	8 3	3 18	11 21
Total	11	21	32
	if predicted Pr(D) ned as grade != 0	>= .5	
-	edictive value edictive value	Pr(+ Pr(- Pr(D Pr(~D	~D) 85.71% +) 72.73%
False - rate False + rate	e for true ~D e for true D e for classified + e for classified -		D) 27.27% +) 27.27%
Correctly cl	Lassified		81.25%

Predicted values. Stata makes it easy to come up with the predicted values for each case. You run the logistic regression, and then use the predict command to compute various quantities of interest to you.

- . quietly logit grade gpa tuce psi
- . * get the predicted log odds for each case
- . predict logodds, xb
- . * get the odds for each case
- . gen odds = exp(logodds)
- . \star get the predicted probability of success
- . predict p, p

. list grade gpa tuce psi logodds odds p

-	+ grade	gpa	tuce	psi	logodds	odds	p
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	0 1 0 0	2.06 2.39 2.63 2.92 2.76	22 19 20 12 17	1 1 0 0 0	-2.727399 -2.080255 -3.685518 -3.627206 -3.603596	.0653891 .1248984 .0250842 .0265904 .0272256	.0613758 .1110308 .0244704 .0259016 .026504
6. 7. 8. 9.	0 0 0 0	2.66 2.89 2.74 2.86 2.83	20 14 19 17 19	0 1 0 0	-3.600734 -1.142986 -3.469803 -3.320985 -3.215453	.0273037 .3188653 .0311232 .0361172 .0401371	.026578 .2417725 .0301837 .0348582 .0385883
11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	0 0 0 0 1	2.67 2.87 2.75 2.89 2.83	24 21 25 22 27	1 0 0 0 1	8131546 -2.912093 -2.870596 -2.760413 075504	.4434569 .0543618 .0566651 .0632657 .927276	.3072187 .051559 .0536264 .0595013 .481133
16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	0 0 0 1	3.1 3.03 3.12 3.39 3.16	21 25 23 17 25	1 0 1 1 1	.1166004 -2.079284 .363438 .5555431 .6667984	1.12367 .1250196 1.438266 1.742887 1.947991	.5291171 .1111266 .5898724 .6354207 .6607859
21. 22. 23. 24. 25.	0 0 1 1	3.28 3.32 3.26 3.57 3.54	24 23 25 23 24	0 0 0 0 0	-1.467914 -1.450027 -1.429278 7434988 1.645563	.2304057 .234564 .2394817 .4754475 5.183929	.1872599 .1899974 .1932112 .3222395 .8382905
26. 27. 28. 29.	 1 0 0 1	3.65 3.51 3.53 3.62 4	21 26 26 28 21	1 1 0 1	1.670963 1.751095 5710702 2.252283 .2814147	5.317286 5.760909 .5649205 9.509419 1.325003	.8417042 .8520909 .3609899 .9048473 .569893
31. 32.	 1 1 +	4 3.92	23 29	1 0	2.850418 .8165872	17.295 2.262764	.9453403 .6935114

Hypothetical values. Stata also makes it very easy to plug in hypothetical values. One way to do this in Stata 11 or higher is with the margins command (with older versions of Stata you can use adjust). We previously computed the probability of success for a hypothetical student with a gpa of 3.0 and a tuce score of 20 who is either in psi or not in psi. To compute these numbers in Stata,

This hypothetical, about average student would have less than a 7% chance of getting an A in the traditional classroom, but would have almost a 44% chance of an A in a psi classroom.

Now, consider a strong student with a 4.0 gpa and a tuce of 25:

This student has about a 2/3 chance of an A in a traditional classroom, and a better than 95% chance of an A in psi.

If you want the log odds instead of the probabilities, give commands like

. margins psi, at(gpa = 4 tuce = 25) predict(xb)

To get the odds, you need to exponentiate the log odds. You can do that via

```
. margins psi, at(gpa = 4 tuce = 25) expression(exp(predict(xb)))
```

Long & Freese's spost commands provide several other good ways of performing these sorts of tasks; see, for example, the mtable and mchange commands.

Stepwise Logistic Regression. This works pretty much the same way it does with OLS regression. However, by adding the lr parameter, we force Stata to use the more accurate (and more time-consuming) Likelihood Ratio tests rather than Wald tests when deciding which variables to include. (Note: stepwise is available in earlier versions of Stata but the syntax is a little different.)

. sw, pe(.05) lr: logit grade gpa tuce psi

```
LR test begin with empty model
p = 0.0031 < 0.0500 adding gpa
p = 0.0130 < 0.0500 adding psi

Logistic regression

Logistic regression

Sumber of obs = 32
LR chi2(2) = 14.93
Prob > chi2 = 0.0006
Log likelihood = -13.126573

Pseudo R2 = 0.3625

grade | Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]

gpa | 3.063368 1.22285 2.51 0.012 .6666251 5.46011
psi | 2.337776 1.040784 2.25 0.025 .2978755 4.377676
_cons | -11.60157 4.212904 -2.75 0.006 -19.85871 -3.344425
```

Diagnostics. The predict command lets you compute various diagnostic measures, just like it did with OLS. For example, the predict command can generate a standardized residual. It can also generate a deviance residual (the deviance residuals identify those cases that contribute the most to the overall deviance of the model.) [WARNING: SPSS and Stata sometimes use different formulas and procedures for computing residuals, so results are not always identical across programs.]

- . * Generate predicted probability of success
- . predict p, p
- . * Generate standardized residuals
- . predict rstandard, rstandard
- . * Generate the deviance residual
- . predict dev, deviance
- . * Use the extremes command to identify large residuals
- . extremes rstandard dev p grade gpa tuce psi

obs:	rstandard	dev	р	grade	gpa	tuce	psi
27.	-2.541286	-1.955074	.8520909	0	3.51	26	 1
18.	-1.270176	-1.335131	.5898724	0	3.12	23	1
16.	-1.128117	-1.227311	.5291171	0	3.1	21	1
28.	817158	9463985	.3609899	0	3.53	26	0
24.	7397601	8819993	.3222395	0	3.57	23	0
							+
19.	.8948758	.9523319	.6354207	1	3.39	17	1
30.	1.060433	1.060478	.569893	1	4	21	0
15.	1.222325	1.209638	.481133	1	2.83	27	1
23.	2.154218	1.813269	.1932112	1	3.26	25	0
2.	3.033444	2.096639	.1110308	1	2.39	19	1 1

The above results suggest that cases 2 and 27 may be problematic. Several other diagnostic measures can also be computed.

Multicollinearity. Multicollinearity is a problem of the X variables, and you can often diagnose it the same ways you would for OLS. Phil Ender's collin command is very useful for this:

```
. collin gpa tuce psi if !missing(grade)
```

Robust standard errors. If you fear that the error terms may not be independent and identically distributed, e.g. heteroscedasticity may be a problem, you can add the robust parameter just like you did with the regress command.

. logit grade gpa tuce psi, robust

Note that the standard errors have changed very little. However, Stata now reports "pseudo-likelihoods" and a Wald chi-square instead of a likelihood ratio chi-square for the model. I won't try to explain why. Stata will surprise you some times with the statistics it reports, but it generally seems to have a good reason for them (although you may have to spend a lot of time reading through the manuals or the online FAQs to figure out what it is.)

Additional Information. Long and Freese's spost13 routines include several other commands that help make the results from logistic regression more interpretable. Their book is very good:

<u>Regression Models for Categorical Dependent Variables Using Stata, Third Edition</u>, by J. Scott Long and Jeremy Freese. 2014.

The notes for my Soc 73994 class, <u>Categorical Data Analysis</u>, contain a lot of additional information on using Stata for logistic regression and other categorical data techniques. See

https://academicweb.nd.edu/~rwilliam/xsoc73994/index.html