

Models for Group Comparisons – Summary

Richard Williams, University of Notre Dame, <https://academicweb.nd.edu/~rwilliam/>

Last revised February 20, 2015

Since we are estimating and comparing several models, it will be helpful to list several of them all in one place. This handout summarizes how to do group comparisons by running separate models for each group and by using interaction terms. We won't go through this handout separately, but it may help you to keep everything straight.

I. **Pooled (Constrained) Model.** Blacks and whites are combined into a single analysis, hence the coefficient estimates are constrained to be the same for both racial groups, i.e. the intercepts and the effect of education and job experience are the same for both groups.

```
. use "https://academicweb.nd.edu/~rwilliam/statafiles/blwh.dta"
. reg income educ jobexp
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 500		
Model	32798.4018	2	16399.2009	F(2, 497)	= 1103.96	
Residual	7382.84742	497	14.8548238	Prob > F	= 0.0000	
Total	40181.2493	499	80.5235456	R-squared	= 0.8163	
				Adj R-squared	= 0.8155	
				Root MSE	= 3.8542	

income	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
educ	1.94512	.0436998	44.51	0.000	1.859261	2.03098
jobexp	.7082212	.0343672	20.61	0.000	.6406983	.775744
_cons	-7.382935	.8027781	-9.20	0.000	-8.960192	-5.805678

II. **Unconstrained Models: Separate Models for each group.** Here, we estimate separate models, first for whites, then blacks. This makes it possible for the intercepts and slope coefficients to freely differ across populations. This is equivalent to Model IIIC.

Whites:

```
. reg income educ jobexp if black == 0
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 400		
Model	18361.9894	2	9180.99472	F(2, 397) = 620.07		
Residual	5878.16991	397	14.8064733	Prob > F = 0.0000		
Total	24240.1594	399	60.7522791	R-squared = 0.7575		
				Adj R-squared = 0.7563		
				Root MSE = 3.8479		

income	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
educ	1.893338	.0562591	33.65	0.000	1.782735	2.003941
jobexp	.722255	.0412236	17.52	0.000	.6412111	.8032988
_cons	-6.461189	1.089219	-5.93	0.000	-8.602547	-4.31983

Blacks:

```
. reg income educ jobexp if black == 1
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	100
Model	4924.27286	2	2462.13643	F(2, 97) =	267.80
Residual	891.81705	97	9.19399021	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	5816.08991	99	58.748383	R-squared =	0.8467
				Adj R-squared =	0.8435
				Root MSE =	3.0322

income	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
educ	1.677949	.0725479	23.13	0.000	1.533962 1.821936
jobexp	.421975	.0581021	7.26	0.000	.3066585 .5372915
_cons	-3.0512	1.154604	-2.64	0.010	-5.342771 -.7596302

Doing an incremental F Test (see earlier notes for details):

$$F_{K+1, N_1+N_2-2K-2} = \frac{(SSE_c - SSE_u) * (N_1 + N_2 - 2K - 2)}{SSE_u * (K + 1)} = \frac{(7383 - 6770) * 494}{6770 * 3} = 14.91$$

III. Unconstrained and Partially Unconstrained Models: Dummy Variables and Interaction Effects. In this approach, interaction effects and dummy variables are used to allow for racial differences in parameter effects. Interaction effects allow more flexibility in model specification.

IIla. Intercepts vary across groups, all other parameters the same. To do this, you regress Y on the IVs and include a dummy variable for race.

```
. reg income educ jobexp i.black
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	500
Model	33206.4588	3	11068.8196	F(3, 496) =	787.14
Residual	6974.79047	496	14.0620776	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	40181.2493	499	80.5235456	R-squared =	0.8264
				Adj R-squared =	0.8254
				Root MSE =	3.7499

income	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
educ	1.840407	.0467507	39.37	0.000	1.748553 1.932261
jobexp	.6514259	.0350604	18.58	0.000	.5825406 .7203111
1.black	-2.55136	.4736266	-5.39	0.000	-3.481921 -1.620798
_cons	-4.72676	.9236842	-5.12	0.000	-6.541576 -2.911943

```
. * Wald test of differences in intercepts
. testparm i.black
```

```
( 1) 1.black = 0
```

```
      F( 1, 496) =    29.02
      Prob > F =    0.0000
```

IIIb. Intercepts and some slopes vary across groups, other slopes are the same.
Regress Y on the IVs, a dummy variable for race, and (in this example) one interaction term:

```
. reg income educ jobexp i.black i.black#c.jobexp
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 500		
Model	33352.2559	4	8338.06397	F(4, 495) = 604.39		
Residual	6828.99339	495	13.7959462	Prob > F = 0.0000		
				R-squared = 0.8300		
				Adj R-squared = 0.8287		
Total	40181.2493	499	80.5235456	Root MSE = 3.7143		

income	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
educ	1.834776	.0463385	39.60	0.000	1.743732	1.925821
jobexp	.7128145	.0395293	18.03	0.000	.6351486	.7904805
1.black	.4686862	1.040728	0.45	0.653	-1.576103	2.513475
black#c.jobexp						
1	-.2556117	.0786289	-3.25	0.001	-.4100993	-.1011242
_cons	-5.514076	.9464143	-5.83	0.000	-7.373561	-3.654592

```
. * Wald test of racial difference in effect of jobexp
. testparm i.black#c.jobexp
```

```
( 1) 1.black#c.jobexp = 0
```

```
      F( 1, 495) = 10.57
      Prob > F = 0.0012
```

IIIC. Intercepts and all slopes free to vary across groups (totally unconstrained). Equivalent to Model II, where we estimated separate models for each group.

```
. reg income educ jobexp i.black i.black#c.educ i.black#c.jobexp
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 500		
Model	33411.2623	5	6682.25246	F(5, 494)	=	487.60
Residual	6769.98696	494	13.7044271	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.8315
				Adj R-squared	=	0.8298
Total	40181.2493	499	80.5235456	Root MSE	=	3.7019

	income	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
	educ	1.893338	.054125	34.98	0.000	1.786994	1.999681
	jobexp	.722255	.0396598	18.21	0.000	.6443322	.8001777
	1.black	3.409988	1.756477	1.94	0.053	-.0410984	6.861075
	black#c.educ						
	1	-.2153886	.1038015	-2.08	0.039	-.4193354	-.0114418
	black#c.jobexp						
	1	-.3002799	.0812705	-3.69	0.000	-.4599584	-.1406015
	_cons	-6.461189	1.0479	-6.17	0.000	-8.520079	-4.402298

```
. * Wald test of differences in slopes
```

```
. testparm i.black#c.educ i.black#c.jobexp
```

```
( 1) 1.black#c.educ = 0
```

```
( 2) 1.black#c.jobexp = 0
```

```
F( 2, 494) = 7.47
Prob > F = 0.0006
```

```
. * Wald test of any differences across groups, including intercepts
```

```
. testparm i.black i.black#c.educ i.black#c.jobexp
```

```
( 1) 1.black = 0
```

```
( 2) 1.black#c.educ = 0
```

```
( 3) 1.black#c.jobexp = 0
```

```
F( 3, 494) = 14.91
Prob > F = 0.0000
```

Note that $N_u = 500$, $SSE_u = 6770$, $DFE_u = 494$. These are the exact same numbers we got for the incremental F test using the Model II procedure of estimating separate models for each racial group. Further, the regression coefficients estimated under this approach can easily be converted to the betas estimated under the previous approach, and vice versa.