

Using Stata for Two-Way Analysis of Variance

We have previously shown how the following two-way ANOVA problem can be solved using SPSS. We will now approach it using Stata.

Problem. A consumer research firm wants to compare three brands of radial tires (X, Y, and Z) in terms of tread life over different road surfaces. Random samples of four tires of each brand are selected for each of three surfaces (asphalt, concrete, gravel). A machine that can simulate road conditions for each of the road surfaces is used to find the tread life (in thousands of miles) of each tire. Construct an ANOVA table and conduct F-tests for the presence of nonzero brand effects, road surface effects, and interaction effects.

Surface/ Brand	X	Y	Z
Asphalt	36, 39, 39, 38	42, 40, 39, 42	32, 36, 35, 34
Concrete	38, 40, 41, 40	42, 45, 48, 47	37, 33, 33, 34
Gravel	34, 32, 34, 35	34, 34, 30, 31	36, 35, 35, 33

Stata Solution. Stata's anova command is pretty straightforward; first you give the DV followed by the IVs. All independent variables are assumed to be categorical unless you explicitly specify otherwise.

```
. anova treadlif surface brand surface*brand
```

	Number of obs =	36	R-squared =	0.8808	
	Root MSE =	1.72401	Adj R-squared =	0.8454	
Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F

Model	592.722222	8	74.0902778	24.93	0.0000
surface	241.722222	2	120.861111	40.66	0.0000
brand	155.388889	2	77.6944444	26.14	0.0000
surface*brand	195.611111	4	48.9027778	16.45	0.0000
Residual	80.25	27	2.97222222		

Total	672.972222	35	19.2277778		

This is organized a little differently than SPSS's output and does not include a separate entry for the combined main effects, but otherwise the results provided are the same.

In the above, surface*brand represents the interaction of surface and brand. Unlike SPSS, in Stata you must explicitly specify the interaction terms you want included in the model, using the asterisk to combine two or more variables (e.g. a 3-way interaction would look like v1*v2*v3). If you leave the interaction term out, you get

```
. anova treadlif surface brand
```

Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F
Model	397.111111	4	99.2777778	11.16	0.0000
surface	241.722222	2	120.861111	13.58	0.0001
brand	155.388889	2	77.6944444	8.73	0.0010
Residual	275.861111	31	8.89874552		
Total	672.972222	35	19.2277778		

anova can also present the results as a regression using dummy variables. (Regression using dummy variables will be explained later in the course.)

```
. anova treadlif surface brand surface*brand, regress
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	36
Model	592.722222	8	74.0902778	F(8, 27) =	24.93
Residual	80.25	27	2.97222222	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	672.972222	35	19.2277778	R-squared =	0.8808
				Adj R-squared =	0.8454
				Root MSE =	1.724

treadlif	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_cons	34.75	.8620067	40.31	0.000	32.98131 36.51869
surface					
1	-.5	1.219062	-0.41	0.685	-3.001308 2.001308
2	-.5	1.219062	-0.41	0.685	-3.001308 2.001308
3	(dropped)				
brand					
1	-1	1.219062	-0.82	0.419	-3.501308 1.501308
2	-2.5	1.219062	-2.05	0.050	-5.001308 .0013077
3	(dropped)				
surface*brand					
1 1	4.75	1.724013	2.76	0.010	1.212617 8.287383
1 2	9	1.724013	5.22	0.000	5.462617 12.53738
1 3	(dropped)				
2 1	6.5	1.724013	3.77	0.001	2.962617 10.03738
2 2	13.75	1.724013	7.98	0.000	10.21262 17.28738
2 3	(dropped)				
3 1	(dropped)				
3 2	(dropped)				
3 3	(dropped)				

The anova command has several other features. You can include continuous variables via use of the continuous parameter. You can estimate complicated designs (typically used in experimental work) that we have not discussed here. If you are doing a regression with a lot of categorical variables, it may be easier to specify it with the anova command rather than regress. Type help anova or read the Stata reference guide if you want more details.