## The word problem for surface groups and hyperbolic geometry

## Andrew Putman

## Abstract

We explain Dehn's solution to the word problem for fundamental groups of surfaces using hyperbolic geometry.

Fix some  $g \ge 2$  and let  $\Sigma_g$  be a closed oriented genus g surface. Recall that

$$\pi_1(\Sigma_g) \cong \langle \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g \mid [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \cdots [\alpha_g, \beta_g] = 1 \rangle.$$

Dehn [D] gave an elegant algorithm to decide whether or not a word in the generators  $S = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_g, \beta_g\}$  represents the identity in  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ . To describe this algorithm, we must introduce some notation. Let F(S) denote the free group on S. For  $w \in F(S)$ , write |w| for the word length of w. Let  $r = [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \cdots [\alpha_g, \beta_g] \in F(S)$ . A shortening relation in  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$  is a relation  $r_1 = r_2$ , where  $r_1, r_2 \in F(S)$  satisfy the following:

- $|r_1| > |r_2|$ .
- Either  $r_1r_2^{-1}$  or  $r_2r_1^{-1}$  is a cyclic permutation of r, which implies in particular that it is conjugate to r and thus is a relation in  $\pi_1(\Sigma_q)$ .
- $|r_1| + |r_2| = |r|$ . In other words, no cancellation occurs between the  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  pieces of the aforementioned cyclic permutation of r.

The key to Dehn's algorithm is the following theorem.

**Theorem 0.1** (Dehn). Let  $w \in F(S)$  be a nontrivial reduced word that represents the identity in  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ . Then there exists a subword  $r_1$  of w and a shortening relation  $r_1 = r_2$  in  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ .

Theorem 0.1 leads to the following algorithm. Consider a reduced word  $w \in F(S)$ .

- Step 1. Check if w contains a subword  $r_1$  as in Theorem 0.1. If it does not, then w does not represent the identity in  $\pi_1(\Sigma_q)$ .
- Step 2. Assume now that w does contain such a subword  $r_1$ , and let  $r_1 = r_2$  be the corresponding shortening relation. Replace the subword  $r_1$  of w with  $r_2$  and freely reduce.
- Step 3. If w = 1, then w represented the identity in  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ . If  $w \neq 1$ , then go back to Step 1.

Since Step 2 shortens w, this algorithm always terminates.

The goal of this note is to give a proof of Theorem 0.1 using hyperbolic geometry that is similar to Dehn's original proof. The idea here has been very influential in geometric group theory and formed part of the inspiration for Gromov's theory of hyperbolic groups; see [C] and [GH].

A regular 4g-gon. Identify  $\Sigma_g$  in the standard way with a 4g-gon with sides identified in pairs according to the surface relation  $[a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g]$  (see Figure 1). Endow  $\Sigma_g$  with a hyperbolic metric by realizing this 4g-gon as a regular hyperbolic 4g-gon whose interior angles are all  $\frac{1}{2g}\pi$  (this angle is need to ensure that there is precisely  $2\pi$  worth of angle around the vertex, so no singularity occurs there). The following argument shows that such a hyperbolic 4g-gon exists. Use the unit disc model for  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . For  $0 < R \leq 1$ , let  $D_R$  be the hyperbolic 4g-gon whose vertices are the points  $(R\cos(k\pi/2g), R\sin(k\pi/2g)) \in \mathbb{H}^2$  for



**Figure 1:** On the left is a genus 2 surface obtained by identifying sides of an octagon in pairs. On the right is a schematic drawing of part of a tiling of the hyperbolic plane by regular octagons.

 $0 \leq k < 4g$ . For R = 1, the vertices of  $D_R$  are on the boundary at infinity, so the interior angles of  $D_R$  are 0. For R very close to 0, the hyperbolic metric on  $D_R$  is very close to the Euclidean metric on  $D_R$ , so the interior angles are very close to those of a regular Euclidean 4g-gon, namely  $\frac{4g-2}{4g}\pi$ . Since  $\frac{4g-2}{4g}\pi > \frac{1}{2g}\pi$ , the intermediate value theorem says that there is some 0 < R < 1 such that the interior angles of  $D_R$  are precisely  $\frac{1}{2g}\pi$ .

The corresponding tiling of hyperbolic space. The identification of  $\Sigma_g$  with a 4ggon whose sides are identified in pairs leads to a CW-complex structure on  $\Sigma_g$  with a single zero cell \*, a single two-cell (the interior of the 4g-gon), and 2g one-cells (the loops corresponding the boundary edges of the 4g-gon). Using the hyperbolic metric on  $\Sigma_g$  from the previous paragraph, we can identify the universal cover of  $\Sigma_g$  with  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . Endow  $\mathbb{H}^2$  with the CW-complex structure obtained by pulling back the one on  $\Sigma_g$ . Each two-cell of this CW-complex structure is a regular 4g-gon, so we obtain a tiling of  $\mathbb{H}^2$  by regular 4g-gons with 4g tiles arranged around each vertex (see Figure 1).

**Reformulation of theorem.** Fixing a base vertex  $\tilde{*}$  in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , the one-skeleton of our CWcomplex structure on  $\mathbb{H}^2$  (i.e. the edges in our tiling) can be identified with the Cayley graph of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$  with respect to the generating set  $S = \{a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_g, b_g\}$ . A reduced word in F(S) corresponds to a edge-path in this Cayley graph starting at  $\tilde{*}$  that never backtracks (i.e. that never goes along an edge and then backwards along the same edge). The reduced word represents the identity in  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$  if and only if the corresponding path is a loop. The following assertion is therefore equivalent to Theorem 0.1:

(†) Every non-backtracking edge loop based at  $\tilde{*}$  in the 1-skeleton of  $\mathbb{H}^2$  traverses more than half of the boundary of one of the 4*g*-gons in the tiling.

The structure of the tiling. Inductively define polygonal subspaces

$$X_1 \subset X_2 \subset X_3 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{H}^2$$

as follows. Let  $X_1$  be the tile that contains  $\tilde{*}$  and whose boundary corresponds to the surface relation  $[a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g]$ . Next, if  $X_{n-1}$  has been constructed, let  $X_n$  be the union of  $X_{n-1}$  and all tiles that intersect  $X_{n-1}$ . These new tiles share either an edge or a vertex with a tile in  $X_{n-1}$ . As is shown in Figure 2, the polygon  $X_n$  can be built from  $X_{n-1}$  in two stages:



**Figure 2:** In genus 2, the two possibilities for how we add type I and type II outermost tiles around a vertex of  $\partial X_{n-1}$  to form  $X_n$ 

- 1. First, add a tile adjacent to each edge of  $\partial X_{n-1}$ . Call these the type I outermost tiles of  $X_n$ .
- 2. Next, consider a vertex of  $\partial X_{n-1}$ . This vertex lies in either one or two tiles of  $X_{n-1}$ . Add enough tiles to fill in the space between the two type I outermost tiles of  $X_n$  that we have just added. Since there are 4g tiles around each vertex, we add 4g - 3 tiles if our vertex lies in one tile of  $X_{n-1}$  and 4g - 4 tiles if our vertex lies in two tiles of  $X_{n-1}$ . Call these new tiles the type II outermost tiles of  $X_n$ .

From the above description, it is clear that  $\partial X_n$  is a simple polygonal loop. It has alternating sections where it first traverses part of the boundary of a type I outermost tile and then tranverses parts of the boundaries of several type II outermost tiles (either 4g-3 or 4g-4 of them). Call the portions of  $\partial X_n$  that are contained in a single outermost tile of  $X_n$  the segments of  $\partial X_n$ . Here is the key observation:

(\*) Each segment of  $\partial X_n$  traverses more than half of the boundary of one of the tiles. To see this, observe that if the segment in question is the intersection of  $\partial X_n$  with an outermost tile of type I, then the segment contains all but 3 edges of the outermost tile, so it has length 4g - 3 > 2g. If instead it is the intersection of  $\partial X_n$  with an outermost tile of type II, then the segment contains all but 2 edges of the outermost tile, so it has length 4g - 2 > 2g.

**Completing the proof.** We now verify (†) as follows. Let  $\gamma$  be a non-backtracking edge loop based at  $\tilde{*}$  in the 1-skeleton of  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . Let  $n \geq 1$  be the smallest integer such that  $\gamma \subset X_n$ . It follows that  $\gamma$  traverses part of  $\partial X_n$ . The portion of  $\partial X_n$  that is traversed by  $\gamma$  must be a union of segments, so by (\*) the path  $\gamma$  must traverse more than half of the boundary of one of the tiles, as desired.

## References

- [C] J. W. Cannon, The combinatorial structure of cocompact discrete hyperbolic groups, Geom. Dedicata 16 (1984), no. 2, 123–148.
- [D] M. Dehn, Papers on group theory and topology, translated from the German and with introductions and an appendix by John Stillwell, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [GH] Ghys and P. de la Harpe, Espaces métriques hyperboliques, in Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov (Bern, 1988), 27–45, Progr. Math., 83, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA.

Andrew Putman Department of Mathematics University of Notre Dame 279 Hurley Hall Notre Dame, IN 46556 andyp@nd.edu